

Lecture 25

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_{\partial \Pi} (\underline{r} \times \underline{N}_\alpha) d\ell &= \int_{\partial \Pi} \underline{P}_\alpha d\ell \\ &\stackrel{(\text{div thm, p. 197})}{=} \int_{\Pi} \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{P} dA \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{P} \right)_i &= \frac{\partial P_{i\alpha}}{\partial x_\alpha} \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} (r_{j,\alpha} N_{k\alpha} + r_j N_{k\alpha,\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightarrow \int_{\Pi} \underline{e}_i \frac{\partial P_{i\alpha}}{\partial x_\alpha} dA \\ &= \int_{\Pi} \left( \underline{r}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{r} \times \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{N} \right) dA \end{aligned}$$

(p. 201)

∴ (AMB) ⇒

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Pi} \left( \underline{r}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{r} \times \left( \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{N} \right) + \underline{r} \times \underline{b} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{M} + \underline{\hat{g}} \right) dA \\ \stackrel{(\text{div thm})}{=} \int_{\Pi} \left( \underline{r} \times \underline{r}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{r} \times \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{N} + \underline{r} \times \underline{b} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{M} + \underline{\hat{g}} \right) dA \\ \stackrel{(\text{AMB})}{=} \int_{\Pi} \left( \underline{r} \times \underline{r}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{r} \times \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{N} + \underline{r} \times \underline{b} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{M} + \underline{\hat{g}} \right) dA \end{aligned}$$

∀ Π ⊂ Ω,

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{M} + \underline{r}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{\hat{g}} = \underline{J}_0 \underline{d} \times \underline{d}_{i\alpha}$$

(\*)

where (as before)  $(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{M})_i = \frac{\partial M_{i\alpha}}{\partial X_\alpha}$ .

Alternatively, we may express (\*) p. 202 as

$$(*) \quad \underline{M}_{\alpha, \alpha} + \underline{r}_{, \alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{\hat{g}} = \underline{J}_0 \underline{d} \times \underline{d}_{tt}$$

Remark. Compare (\*) above to (\*) on p. 129 for rods.

Exercise (25) A membrane is a plate that incapable of sustaining couples - internally & externally - and rotational inertia, i.e.,  $\underline{M} \equiv \underline{0}$ ,  $\underline{J}_0 \equiv \underline{0}$ ,  $\underline{\hat{g}} \equiv \underline{0}$ . (a) Show that (\*) above then implies that  $\underline{a}_\alpha(\underline{s}) \cdot \underline{N}_\alpha(\underline{s}) = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , for any  $\underline{a}(\underline{s})$  s.t.  $\underline{a}(\underline{s}) \cdot \underline{r}_{, \alpha}(\underline{s}) = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , i.e.,  $\underline{a}(\underline{s})$  is  $\perp$  to the tangent plane at  $\underline{r}(\underline{s})$ .

Hint: Write  $\underline{a}_1 \equiv \underline{r}_{, 1}$ ,  $\underline{a}_2 \equiv \underline{r}_{, 2}$ , which span the tangent plane to the deformed surface at  $\underline{s}$ . Then write

$$\underline{N}_\beta = N_\beta^\alpha \underline{a}_\alpha + N_\beta^3 \underline{a}_3, \quad \beta = 1, 2.$$

(b) Deduce also that  $N_1^2 = N_2^1$ .

## Alternative Formulation: Director Momentum Balance

Recall from p. 199,

$$\underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha \equiv \underline{\underline{d}} \times \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \underline{\underline{I}}_3 \underline{\underline{S}}_\alpha d\underline{\underline{I}}_3$$

We now define

$$\underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha \equiv \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \underline{\underline{I}}_3 \underline{\underline{S}}_\alpha d\underline{\underline{I}}_3 \quad \forall \underline{\underline{S}}_\alpha \in \mathbb{E}^2.$$

Observe  $\underline{\underline{M}} \in L(\mathbb{E}^2, \mathbb{E}^3)$ . We then call

$$\underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha \equiv \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{e}}_\alpha \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{similarly to } \underline{\underline{N}} \text{ \& } \underline{\underline{N}}_\alpha \\ \text{and } \underline{\underline{M}} \text{ \& } \underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha \end{array} \right)$$

Then (\*)  $\underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha = \underline{\underline{d}} \times \underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha$ . (p. 199)

Similarly, from p. 200, we have the body couple

$$\underline{\underline{g}} \equiv \underline{\underline{d}} \times \left[ \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \underline{\underline{I}}_3 \underline{\underline{b}} d\underline{\underline{I}}_3 + \frac{h}{2} \left( \underline{\underline{A}} \Big|_{\underline{\underline{I}}_3=h/2} + \underline{\underline{A}} \Big|_{\underline{\underline{I}}_3=-h/2} \right) \right]$$

We define the quantity in brackets [  $\underline{\underline{I}} \equiv \underline{\underline{L}}$ ,

i.e. ], 
$$\underline{\underline{g}} = \underline{\underline{d}} \times \underline{\underline{L}}.$$

To motivate a balance law involving  $\underline{\underline{M}}_\alpha$  and  $\underline{\underline{L}}$ , we return again to the part  $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma \times (-h/2, h/2)$  from before (p. 194) and start with the local form of (LMB) (p. 28)

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\underline{S}} + \hat{\underline{b}} = \rho_0 \hat{\underline{t}}_{tt}$$

We again substitute the ansatz  $\underline{f}_c$  (p. 190), multiply the equation above by  $\underline{X}_3$  and then integrate over  $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & \int_{\Gamma} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \left( \underline{X}_3 \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\underline{S}} + \underline{X}_3 \rho_0 \hat{\underline{b}} \right) d\underline{X}_3 dA \\ & = \int_{\Gamma} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \left( \cancel{\underline{X}_3 \hat{\underline{t}}_{tt}} + \underline{X}_3^2 \hat{\underline{t}}_{tt} \right) \rho_0 d\underline{X}_3 dA \end{aligned}$$

Observe  $\underline{\nabla} \cdot (\underline{X}_3 \underline{\underline{S}}) = \underline{X}_3 \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\underline{S}} + \underline{\underline{S}} \underline{e}_3$

$$\left( \frac{d}{d\underline{X}_j} (\underline{X}_3 S_{ij}) = \underline{X}_3 \frac{\partial S_{ij}}{\partial \underline{X}_j} + \cancel{\delta_{3j} S_{ij}} \hat{S}_{i3} \right)$$

$$\therefore \int_{\Gamma} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \left( \underline{\nabla} \cdot (\underline{X}_3 \underline{\underline{S}}) - \underline{\underline{S}} \underline{e}_3 \right) d\underline{X}_3 dA$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \underline{X}_3 \hat{\underline{b}} \rho_0 d\underline{X}_3 dA = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\underline{t}}_{tt} \underline{J}_0 dA$$

Div thru  $\Rightarrow$

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{P}} \underline{X}_3 \underline{\underline{S}}_{\underline{m}} dA - \int_{\Gamma} \left( \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \underline{\underline{S}} \underline{e}_3 d\underline{X}_3 \right) dA$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma} \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \underline{X}_3 \hat{\underline{b}} \rho_0 d\underline{X}_3 dA = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\underline{t}}_{tt} \underline{J}_0 dA$$

(p. 195)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{I}_3 \underline{\underline{\Sigma}}_m dA &= \int_{\Pi} \frac{w}{2} \underline{\underline{\Sigma}} \Big|_{\mathbb{I}_3 = w/2} \underline{\underline{e}}_3 dA \\
 &+ \int_{\Pi} \left( +\frac{w}{2} \right) \underline{\underline{\Sigma}} \Big|_{\mathbb{I}_3 = -w/2} \underline{\underline{e}}_3 dA \\
 &+ \underbrace{\int_{\partial \Pi} \left( \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \mathbb{I}_3 \underline{\underline{\Sigma}} \underline{\underline{\nu}} d\mathbb{I}_3 \right) d\ell}_{\underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{\nu}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define the stress resultant

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}} \equiv \int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \underline{\underline{\Sigma}} \underline{\underline{e}}_3 d\mathbb{I}_3.$$

In view of the definition of  $\hat{\underline{\underline{L}}}$  on p. 204, then the balance law (\*) p. 205 now reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\partial \Pi} \underline{\underline{M}} \underline{\underline{\nu}} d\ell - \int_{\Pi} \underline{\underline{\sigma}} dA \\
 + \int_{\Pi} \hat{\underline{\underline{L}}} dA = \int_{\Pi} \underline{\underline{d}}_{ttt} \underline{\underline{J}}_0 dA
 \end{aligned}$$

As before, the divergence theorem and the usual localization argument then yield

or  $\underline{M}_\alpha, \alpha$

$$(*) \quad \nabla \cdot \underline{M} - \underline{\sigma} + \underline{\hat{g}} = J_0 \underline{d}_{tt}$$

Question: What is the connection with (AMB)?  
That is, since

$$\underline{M}_\alpha = \underline{d} \times \underline{M}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\hat{g}} = \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}},$$

there must be some redundancy here. Let's take the point of view that (\*) above holds. Then (AMB) implies (p. 195)

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_{\partial \Gamma} [\underline{r} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + (\underline{d} \times \underline{M}_\alpha)] d\ell \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} [\underline{r} \times \underline{\hat{g}} + \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}}] dA \end{aligned}$$

(\*\*)

$$= \int_{\Gamma} (\underline{r} \times \underline{\hat{g}} + \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}} + J_0) dA$$

(pp. 201-202)

(LMB)

Recall  $\oint_{\partial \Gamma} \underline{r} \times \underline{N}_\alpha d\ell \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \int_{\Gamma} (\underline{r}_{1\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{r} \times \underline{\nabla \cdot \underline{N}}) dA$

Moreover, by those same arguments (pp. 201-202), we deduce

$$\int_{\partial \Gamma} \underline{d} \times \underline{M}_\alpha d\ell = \int_{\Gamma} [\underline{d}_{1\alpha} \times \underline{M}_\alpha + \underline{d} \times (\underline{\nabla \cdot \underline{M}})] dA$$

So the local form of <sup>(AMB)</sup> ~~(\*)~~ p. 207 reads

$$\underline{t}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{d}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{M}_\alpha + \underline{d} \times \underline{\nabla \cdot \underline{M}} + \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}} = \underline{d} \times \underline{d_{ttt} T_0}$$

~~(\*)~~ p. 207

$$\underline{d} \times \underline{\nabla \cdot \underline{M}} - \underline{d} \times \underline{\sigma} + \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\underline{t}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{N}_\alpha + \underline{d}_{i\alpha} \times \underline{M}_\alpha + \underline{d} \times \underline{\sigma} = \underline{0}},$$

which should be viewed as a constitutive identity (like the symmetry of the stress tensor).

Remark It turns out that <sup>(AMB)</sup> p. is enough for the special Cosserat theory  $|\underline{d}| \equiv 1$  (which we impose later), i.e., ~~(\*)~~ p. 207 is not really required. Yet ~~(\*)~~ p. 207 together with the symmetry identity above is revealing from the point of view of constitutive theory, which we explore next.

Corollary Given  $\underline{M}_\alpha = \underline{d} \times \underline{M}_\alpha$  and  $\underline{\hat{g}} = \underline{d} \times \underline{\hat{g}}$  we immediately conclude that

$$\underline{d} \cdot \underline{M}_\alpha = \underline{d} \cdot \underline{\hat{g}} \equiv \underline{0}$$

## Hyperelasticity

Returning to p. 191, recall that

$$\underline{\underline{F}}_c = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{r}} + \mathbb{I}_3 \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{d}} + \underline{\underline{d}} \otimes \underline{\underline{e}}_3,$$

where  $\underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{r}} = \frac{d\underline{\underline{r}}}{d\underline{\underline{X}}_\alpha} \otimes \underline{\underline{e}}_\alpha$ ,  $\underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{d}} = \frac{d\underline{\underline{d}}}{d\underline{\underline{X}}_\alpha} \otimes \underline{\underline{e}}_\alpha$   
(see (\*) p. 190).

As in rod theory, we can motivate the form of the stored energy function via the constrained 3-d viewpoint:

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \underline{\underline{\Phi}}(\underline{\underline{r}}_\alpha, \underline{\underline{d}}, \underline{\underline{d}}_\alpha) & \\ & \equiv \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} W(\underline{\underline{F}}_c) d\underline{\underline{X}}_3 \end{aligned}$$

It is instructive to "derive" the total potential energy expression for the plate SL.

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \int_{\Pi} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} W(\underline{\underline{F}}_c) d\underline{\underline{X}} \\ &= \int_{\Pi} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \hat{\underline{\underline{b}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{f}}_c d\underline{\underline{X}}_3 dA \end{aligned}$$

$$- \int_{\Omega_T \cup \Omega_B} \underline{S}_m \cdot \underline{f}_c \, dA \quad B = \Omega \times (-w/2, w/2)$$

$$\Omega_T = \Omega \times \{w/2\}$$

$$\Omega_B = \Omega \times \{-w/2\}$$

Exercise (26) Carry out this calculation above and show that for the plate

$$\mathcal{V}[\underline{r}, \underline{d}] = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\underline{r}, \alpha, \underline{d}, \underline{d}, \alpha) \, dA$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \hat{\underline{b}} \cdot \underline{r} \, dA - \int_{\Omega} \hat{\underline{q}} \cdot \underline{d} \, dA$$

$\nwarrow$  "dead"  $\nearrow$

It is instructive to take the 1st variation:  
 For simplicity, let's assume that  $\underline{r}|_{\partial\Omega}$   
 and  $\underline{d}|_{\partial\Omega}$  are specified:

$$\delta \mathcal{V} = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{V}[\underline{r} + \varepsilon \underline{\eta}, \underline{d} + \varepsilon \underline{z}] \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

$\forall$  sufficiently smooth  $\underline{\eta}, \underline{z}$  such that  
 $\underline{\eta}|_{\partial\Omega} = \underline{z}|_{\partial\Omega} = \underline{0}$  (admissible).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \delta V &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\underline{r}_{,\alpha} + \varepsilon \underline{n}_{,\alpha}, \underline{d} + \varepsilon \underline{\xi}, \underline{d}_{,\alpha} + \varepsilon \underline{\xi}_{,\alpha}) dA \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \left[ \hat{\underline{b}} \cdot (\underline{r} + \varepsilon \underline{n}) - \hat{\underline{l}} \cdot (\underline{d} + \varepsilon \underline{\xi}) \right] dA \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_{,\alpha}} \right) \cdot \underline{n}_{,\alpha} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \underline{d}} \cdot \underline{\xi} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial d_{,\alpha}} \cdot \underline{\xi}_{,\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \hat{\underline{b}} \cdot \underline{n} - \hat{\underline{l}} \cdot \underline{\xi} \right] dA = 0 \quad \forall \text{ adm } \underline{n}, \underline{\xi}
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrate by parts:

$$\underline{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_{,\alpha}} \quad dV = \underline{n}_{,\alpha} dX_{\alpha}$$

$$d\underline{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_{,\alpha}} \right) dX_{\alpha} \quad \underline{V} = \underline{\mathcal{N}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta V &= \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_{,\alpha}} \right) \cdot \underline{n} + \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial d_{,\alpha}} \right) \cdot \underline{\xi} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \underline{d}} \cdot \underline{\xi} - \hat{\underline{b}} \cdot \underline{n} - \hat{\underline{l}} \cdot \underline{\xi} \right\} dA = 0 \\
 &\quad \forall \text{ adm } \underline{n}, \underline{\xi}
 \end{aligned}$$

Euler-Lagrange eq'ns: