

Lecture 12

and $\underline{\underline{C}}(\underline{\underline{F}}) = C_{ijkl}(\underline{\underline{F}}) (\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j) \otimes (\underline{e}_k \otimes \underline{e}_l)$

↑ ↑
(parentheses not needed)

In this way we can establish

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{C}}(\underline{\underline{F}}) &\in L(L(\mathbb{E}^3)) && \text{(we haven't named!)} \\ &\simeq L(\text{3rd-order tensors, } \mathbb{E}^3) \\ &\simeq L(\mathbb{E}^3, \text{3rd-order tensors}), \text{ e.g.} \end{aligned}$$

E.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{C}} \underline{\underline{v}} &= C_{ijkl} \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_k \otimes \underline{e}_l \sum_m \underline{v}_m \underline{e}_m \\ &= C_{ijkl} \underline{v}_m \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_k \delta_{lm} \\ &= C_{ijkl} \underline{v}_l \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider (3rd order tensor in fixed $\underline{\underline{v}}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \frac{\partial W}{\partial F_{ij}} \left(\underline{\underline{F}} + \alpha \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0} \\ = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}} \left(\underline{\underline{F}} + \alpha \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \right) \right) \Big|_{\alpha=0} \\ = \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}^2} (\underline{\underline{F}}) [\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j] \end{aligned}$$

($\underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{e}_i \cdot \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{e}_j$)

But $\frac{d}{d\alpha} \frac{\partial W}{\partial F_{ij}} (F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{13} + \alpha, F_{23}, \dots, F_{33})$
 $= \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{13}}$

$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{13}} (\underline{F}) = \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \underline{F}^2} (\underline{F}) [\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_3]$
 $= \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \underline{C}(\underline{F}) (\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_3)$

Generally, $\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} (\underline{F}) = \underline{e}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \cdot \underline{C}(\underline{F}) (\underline{e}_k \otimes \underline{e}_l)$
 $= C_{ijkl}(\underline{F}) \checkmark$

For smooth W,

Lemma $\underline{C}(\underline{F})$ is symmetric (for each $\underline{F} \in GL^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$, i.e.,)

$\underline{A} \cdot \underline{C}(\underline{F}) [\underline{B}] = \underline{B} \cdot \underline{C}(\underline{F}) [\underline{A}] \quad \forall \underline{A}, \underline{B} \in \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} B_{kl} \quad \nearrow \quad B_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{ij} \partial F_{kl}} A_{kl}$

$A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial F_{kl} \partial F_{ij}} B_{kl} \quad \checkmark$

With our new notation in hand, (**) p.97 reads:

$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{dW}{d\underline{F}} (\underline{\nabla} \underline{f}) \right) = \underline{C}(\underline{\nabla} \underline{f}) [\underline{\nabla}^2 \underline{f}]$, where

$$\left(\underline{C}(\underline{\nabla} f) [\underline{\nabla}^2 f] \right)_i \equiv C_{ijkl}(\underline{\nabla} f) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_l \partial x_j}$$

wrt to any orthonormal basis.

We are interested in properties of $\underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{F}})$ - those of $\underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{I}})$ are well known. Indeed, suppose that the reference configuration is "stress free" or "natural", viz.,

$$(*) \quad \underline{\underline{S}} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}}(\underline{\underline{I}}) = \underline{\underline{0}}.$$

Then (expanding) in general, we have

$$\underline{\underline{S}} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}}(\underline{\underline{F}}) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}}(\underline{\underline{I}}) + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \underline{\underline{F}}^2}(\underline{\underline{I}})(\underline{\underline{F}} - \underline{\underline{I}}) + \text{h.o.t.}$$

$$\text{or } \underline{\underline{S}} = \underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{I}})[\underline{\underline{F}} - \underline{\underline{I}}] + \text{h.o.t.},$$

$$\text{if } \underline{\underline{F}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{f}}, \quad \underline{\underline{F}} - \underline{\underline{I}} = \underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{u}} \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{displacement} \\ \text{gradient} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Then } \underline{\underline{S}} = \underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{I}})[\underline{\underline{\nabla}} \underline{\underline{u}}] + \text{h.o.t.}$$

Thus, \leftarrow this is the elasticity tensor from the linear theory, for which much is known:

Thm If the reference configuration is stress-free^(*), then

$$\underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{I}})[\underline{\underline{H}}] \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}^3) \quad \forall \underline{\underline{H}} \in L(\mathbb{E}^3),$$

$$\underline{\underline{Q}}(\underline{\underline{I}})[\underline{\underline{W}}] = \underline{\underline{0}} \quad \forall \underline{\underline{W}} \in \text{skew}(\mathbb{E}^3).$$

To prove this we first need:

Lemma $\underline{C}(\underline{F}) = \frac{d\hat{T}}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{F})$

Pf Write $\frac{dW}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{F})$

$$\hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{F}) \underline{F}^T = \det \underline{F} \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{F})$$

Set $\underline{F} = \underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}$ and compute $\frac{d}{d\alpha} (\) \Big|_{\alpha=0}$

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \left\{ \frac{dW}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H})(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}^T) \right. \\ \left. = \det(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}) \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}) \right\} \Big|_{\alpha=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{C}(\underline{I})[\underline{H}] \underline{I} + \hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{I}) \underline{H}^T \\ = \text{Cof}(\underline{I}) \underline{H} \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{I}) + \det(\underline{I}) \frac{d\hat{\underline{T}}}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{I})(\underline{H})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d\hat{\underline{T}}}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{I})(\underline{H}) = \underline{C}(\underline{I})(\underline{H}) \quad \forall \underline{H} \in L(\mathbb{E}^3)$$

Corollary $\underline{T} = \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{F}) + \underline{C}(\underline{F})(\underline{H}) + o(|\underline{H}|)$

$$\underline{S} = \hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{F}) + \underline{C}(\underline{F})(\underline{H}) + o(|\underline{H}|)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{S} = \underline{T} + o(|\underline{H}|) \text{ as } |\underline{H}| \rightarrow 0.$$

Exercise (17) Recall (pp. 55-56) that

$$\underline{S} = \frac{d\underline{W}}{d\underline{E}}(\underline{E}) = \underline{F} \underline{P} = \underline{F} \frac{d\underline{\Psi}(\underline{E})}{d\underline{E}}.$$

(a) From this identity, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Q}(\underline{E})[\underline{A}] &= \underline{A} \frac{d\underline{\Psi}}{d\underline{E}}(\underline{E}) \\ &\quad + \underline{F} \frac{d^2\underline{\Psi}}{d\underline{E}^2}(\underline{E}) \left[\frac{1}{2} (\underline{F}^T \underline{A} + \underline{A}^T \underline{E}) \right] \\ &\quad \forall \underline{A} \in L(\mathbb{E}^3), \end{aligned}$$

and thus conclude that, in general,

$$\underline{Q}(\underline{E}) \neq \frac{d^2\underline{\Psi}}{d\underline{E}^2}(\underline{E}).$$

(b) On the other hand, assuming a stress-free reference configuration, show that

$$\underline{Q}(\underline{E}) = \frac{d^2\underline{\Psi}}{d\underline{E}^2}(\underline{0}).$$

Pf of Thm (p.100)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{C}(\underline{I})(\underline{H}) &= \frac{d}{d\alpha} \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}) \Big|_{\alpha=0} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{I} + \alpha \underline{H}) - \hat{\underline{T}}(\underline{I})}{\alpha} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}^3). \end{aligned}$$

For the 2nd part use (M0):

$$\hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{Q}\underline{F}) = \underline{Q} \hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{F}) \quad \forall \underline{Q} \in \text{SO}(3).$$

Choose $\underline{F} = \underline{I}$ and $\underline{Q} = \exp(\underline{W}t)$ for $\underline{W} \in \text{Skew}(\mathbb{E}^3)$ (see pp. 44-45).

$$\text{Then} \quad \hat{\underline{S}}(\exp(\underline{W}t)) = \exp(\underline{W}t) \hat{\underline{S}}(\underline{I})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\underline{S}}(\exp(\underline{W}t)) = \underline{0} \quad \text{in part. at } t=0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{C}(\underline{I})(\underline{W}) = \underline{0} \quad \forall \underline{W} \in \text{Skew}(\mathbb{E}^3)$$

A reasonable restriction, for which there is ample experimental evidence is:

$$(*) \quad \underline{H} \cdot \underline{C}(\underline{I})(\underline{H}) > 0 \quad \forall \underline{H} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{E}^3) \\ \underline{H} \neq \underline{0}$$

pos-def'n on symmetric tensor at ref config.

This property (along with smoothness assumptions, smoothness of the boundary $\partial B = \partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2$ with $\partial B_1 \cap \partial B_2 = \emptyset$), yields a "local" existence result:

First re-write the equilibrium equations in terms of the displacement $\underline{x} + \underline{u}(\underline{x}) = \underline{f}(\underline{x})$
 $\Rightarrow \underline{F} = \underline{F} + \nabla \underline{u}$, $\nabla^2 \underline{f} = \nabla^2 \underline{u}$:

$$F(\mu, \underline{u}) \equiv \begin{cases} \underline{C}(\underline{F} + \nabla \underline{u}) \nabla^2 \underline{u} + \hat{\underline{b}} = \underline{0} & \text{in } B \\ \underline{u} - \hat{\underline{d}} = \underline{0} & \text{on } \partial B_1, \quad (\hat{\underline{d}} = \hat{\underline{f}} - \underline{x}) \\ \frac{dW}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{F} + \nabla \underline{u}) \underline{m} - \hat{\underline{s}} = \underline{0} & \text{on } \partial B_2 \end{cases}$$

(*)

$\mu = (\hat{\underline{b}}, \hat{\underline{d}}, \hat{\underline{s}})$ prescribed fields.

Solve $F(\mu, \underline{u}) = 0$ $F: \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$
 $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ Banach spaces

Observe: $F(\underline{0}, \underline{0}) = \underline{0}$

$$\underline{C}(\underline{F}) \underline{0} + \hat{\underline{0}} = \underline{0} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\underline{0} - \underline{0} = \underline{0} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\frac{dW}{d\underline{F}}(\underline{F}) \underline{m} - \underline{0} = \underline{0} \quad \checkmark$$